Second Regular Session Seventy-second General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

PREAMENDED

This Unofficial Version Includes Committee Amendments Not Yet Adopted on Second Reading

LLS NO. 20-0252.01 Duane Gall x4335

HOUSE BILL 20-1064

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Hooton, Kennedy

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

(None),

House Committees

Senate Committees

Energy & Environment Appropriations

	A BILL FOR AN ACT
101	CONCERNING INVESTIGATIONS BY THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
102	TO EVALUATE THE IMPLICATIONS OF ALLOWING COMMUNITY
103	CHOICE OF WHOLESALE ELECTRIC SUPPLY IN COLORADO
104	THROUGH THE VEHICLE OF COMMUNITY CHOICE ENERGY
105	AUTHORITIES.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at http://leg.colorado.gov/.)

Investor-owned Utility Review Interim Study Committee. The bill declares that the concept of "community choice energy" (CCE), under

which a community may choose to purchase electricity at wholesale through a supplier other than the local investor-owned electric utility, has the potential to enable communities to meet their renewable energy goals and save money without disrupting the local utility's current status as sole supplier of transmission, distribution, and customer service functions. To lay the groundwork for potential adoption of CCE in Colorado, the bill proposes 2 studies:

- ! A feasibility study, conducted by an independent energy expert under the guidance of the public utilities commission (PUC), to examine the financial and technical requirements that would need to be met for CCE to be viable and beneficial; and
- ! An investigatory proceeding at the PUC, inviting testimony and documentation from persons with firsthand knowledge of utility operations, CCE, or both, including regulators from other states in which CCE has been implemented. The goal of the investigation is to identify best practices and recommend legislative changes that would allow CCE to function well in Colorado if adopted.

The bill directs that reports of the results of the feasibility study and the investigatory docket be given to the legislative committees with jurisdiction over energy matters in late 2020.

1 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado: 2 **SECTION 1.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, add 40-4-120 as 3 follows: 4 40-4-120. Community choice in wholesale electric supply -5 investigation and analysis - duties of commission - reports - legislative 6 declaration - definition - repeal. (1) Legislative declaration. (a) THE 7 GENERAL ASSEMBLY FINDS AND DETERMINES THAT: 8 (I) AT LEAST A DOZEN COMMUNITIES IN COLORADO, KNOWN AS 9 THE "READY FOR 100" CITIES, HAVE COMMITTED TO OBTAINING ONE 10 HUNDRED PERCENT RENEWABLE ENERGY BY 2025 TO 2035. IN ADDITION, 11 AT LEAST TWO DOZEN COMMUNITIES, KNOWN AS "COLORADO COMMUNITIES FOR CLIMATE ACTION", HAVE ORGANIZED TO ADVOCATE 12 13 FOR CLIMATE CHANGE SOLUTIONS. THESE COMMUNITIES, WHICH

-2-

1	REPRESENT MORE THAN ONE MILLION COLORADANS, ARE EXPLORING
2	WAYS TO REACH THEIR ENERGY AND CLIMATE GOALS WITHIN THEIR
3	DESIRED TIME PERIODS.
4	(II) A KEY ELEMENT OF THE GOVERNOR'S POLICY INITIATIVE,
5	ENTITLED "ROADMAP TO 100% RENEWABLE ENERGY BY 2040 AND BOLD
6	CLIMATE ACTION", PRIORITIZES SUPPORTING LOCAL COMMITMENTS TO
7	ONE HUNDRED PERCENT RENEWABLE ENERGY.
8	(III) THE ABILITY OF A COMMUNITY TO ACHIEVE ITS ENERGY
9	GOALS IS CURRENTLY LIMITED BY THE ENERGY SUPPLY AND
10	DECARBONIZATION TIMELINE OF THE ELECTRIC UTILITY THAT SERVES THAT
11	COMMUNITY'S GEOGRAPHIC AREA. THE ABILITY TO PROCURE ELECTRICITY
12	FROM ALTERNATIVE WHOLESALE SUPPLIERS MAY ENABLE COMMUNITIES
13	TO ACHIEVE THEIR ENERGY GOALS SUBSTANTIALLY FASTER AND MORE
14	COST-EFFECTIVELY.
15	(IV) COMMUNITY CHOICE ENERGY (CCE, ALSO COMMONLY
16	KNOWN AS COMMUNITY CHOICE AGGREGATION OR CCA), IS A LOCAL
17	ENERGY MODEL THAT HAS BEEN ADOPTED IN A NUMBER OF STATES AND
18	HAS PROVEN TO BE EFFECTIVE IN HELPING COMMUNITIES ACHIEVE THEIR
19	RENEWABLE ENERGY OR COST-CONTAINMENT GOALS, OR BOTH. THE
20	STUDY OF CCE WOULD ANSWER KEY QUESTIONS AND ILLUMINATE THE
21	POSSIBLE BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES OF ADAPTING THE CCE MODEL AS
22	AN OPTION FOR COLORADO COMMUNITIES.
23	(V) IN THE CCE MODEL, COMMUNITIES THAT ARE SERVED BY AN
24	INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY MAY CHOOSE THEIR WHOLESALE
25	ELECTRICITY SUPPLIERS, WHILE THE ELECTRICITY CONTINUES TO BE
26	DELIVERED BY THE INCUMBENT UTILITY. IN STATES THAT HAVE ENABLED
27	CCE TO DATE CCE IS NOT DEDMITTED IN COMMUNITIES THAT A DE SERVED

-3-

1	BY A COOPERATIVE ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION OR A MUNICIPALLY OWNED
2	ELECTRIC UTILITY.
3	(VI) IN THE CCE MODEL, AN INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY
4	CONTINUES TO OWN AND OPERATE ITS TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION
5	SYSTEM TO SERVE BOTH CCE CUSTOMERS AND ITS OWN CUSTOMERS, WITH
6	APPROPRIATE COMPENSATION, AND THE UTILITY CONTINUES TO
7	IMPLEMENT DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS, MANAGE CUSTOMER
8	SERVICE, AND PROVIDE METERING AND BILLING SERVICES. THE UTILITY
9	CONTINUES TO OWN ITS POWER GENERATION TO SERVE ITS OWN
10	CUSTOMERS. IF A COMMUNITY CHOOSES TO ADOPT CCE, THE UTILITY
11	WOULD DELIVER THE ELECTRICITY FROM ONE OR MORE ALTERNATIVE
12	SUPPLIERS TO CCE CUSTOMERS.
13	(VII) THIS SECTION CONCERNS THE "WHOLESALE, OPT-OUT"
14	MODEL OF CCE, PURSUANT TO WHICH INDIVIDUAL CUSTOMERS ARE
15	AUTOMATICALLY ENROLLED AND RETAIN THE RIGHT TO OPT OUT OF THEIR
16	COMMUNITY'S CCE OFFERINGS AND PURCHASE THEIR ELECTRICITY FROM
17	THE UTILITY UNDER ITS TRADITIONAL "BUNDLED SERVICE". THE RETAIL
18	MODEL OF CCE, IN WHICH INDIVIDUALS IN DEREGULATED "RETAIL
19	CHOICE" STATES CAN SHOP FOR THEIR ELECTRICITY FROM AMONG MANY
20	COMPETING SUPPLIERS, DOES NOT PROMOTE THE STABLE REVENUE
21	CONDITIONS NEEDED FOR DEVELOPMENT OF HIGH LEVELS OF RENEWABLE
22	ENERGY. THE RETAIL CCE MODEL IS EXPLICITLY NOT THE SUBJECT OF THIS
23	SECTION.
24	(VIII) A WELL-DESIGNED WHOLESALE, OPT-OUT CCE PROGRAM
25	WOULD INTRODUCE AN ELEMENT OF WHOLESALE COMPETITION AND
26	COMMUNITY-LEVEL CHOICE INTO THE SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY AND COULD
27	PROVIDE COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE AMBITIOUS RENEWABLE ENERGY

-4- 1064

1	GOALS WITH A MEANS TO REACH THOSE GOALS MORE QUICKLY AND
2	COST-EFFECTIVELY.
3	(IX) This section pertains only to the study of CCE, not to
4	ITS IMPLEMENTATION. WHILE CCE IN OTHER STATES SHOWS THE
5	POTENTIAL FOR COMMUNITIES TO MAKE LOCAL ENERGY DECISIONS, REACH
6	THEIR ENERGY GOALS, REDUCE ENERGY COSTS, AND FOSTER LOCAL
7	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND LOCAL EMPLOYMENT, IT IS PRUDENT TO
8	FIRST STUDY THE FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY AND THE REGULATORY, LEGAL,
9	AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS OF CCE IN COLORADO BEFORE ANY
10	CONSIDERATION OF ENABLING $\overline{\text{CCE}}$ AS AN OPTION FOR COMMUNITIES IN
11	COLORADO.
12	(X) THE TWO INDEPENDENT STUDIES DESCRIBED IN THIS SECTION
13	WILL ANSWER KEY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE POTENTIAL VIABILITY OF $\overline{\text{CCE}}$
14	IN COLORADO AND WILL IDENTIFY BEST PRACTICES AND LESSONS LEARNED
15	FROM THE EXPERIENCES OF STATES THAT HAVE ALREADY IMPLEMENTED
16	CCE. THE STUDIES WILL PROVIDE THE INFORMATION NEEDED TO
17	DETERMINE WHETHER CCE WOULD PROVIDE NET BENEFITS TO COLORADO
18	COMMUNITIES.
19	(b) THEREFORE, THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY DECLARES THAT IT IS IN
20	THE PUBLIC INTEREST TO DIRECT THE COMMISSION TO EVALUATE THE
21	VIABILITY OF THE WHOLESALE, OPT-OUT MODEL OF CCE IN COLORADO
22	AND TO ANSWER KEY QUESTIONS ABOUT CCE IN COLORADO THROUGH
23	TWO INVESTIGATIONS:
24	(I) BY OVERSEEING A THIRD-PARTY FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY STUDY;
25	AND
26	(II) BY CONDUCTING ITS OWN INVESTIGATORY PROCEEDING USING
27	THE MECHANISM OF AN INVESTIGATORY DOCKET TO STUDY REGULATORY

-5- 1064

1	AND LEGAL ISSUES.
2	(2) Definition. As used in this section, "community choice
3	ENERGY" OR "CCE" MEANS A MECHANISM THAT ALLOWS CITIES OR
4	COUNTIES, OR GROUPS OF CITIES AND COUNTIES, TO COMBINE THEIR
5	PURCHASING POWER AND CHOOSE ONE OR MORE ALTERNATIVE
6	WHOLESALE ELECTRICITY SUPPLIERS ON BEHALF OF THE RESIDENTS,
7	BUSINESSES, AND MUNICIPAL FACILITIES IN THE JURISDICTION WHILE THE
8	INCUMBENT UTILITY MAINTAINS ITS EXISTING GENERATION AND
9	CONTINUES TO OWN AND OPERATE ITS TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION
10	SYSTEM AND DELIVER THE ELECTRICITY TO BOTH ITS OWN CUSTOMERS
11	AND CCE CUSTOMERS.
12	(3) Feasibility study. (a) IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS SUBSECTION
13	(3), THE COMMISSION SHALL OVERSEE, AND REPORT TO THE GENERAL
14	ASSEMBLY THE CONCLUSIONS OF, A STUDY ON THE FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY
15	OF ALLOWING CCE IN COLORADO.
16	(b) THE COMMISSION SHALL:
17	(I) SELECT, THROUGH A TRANSPARENT AND COMPETITIVE
18	SOLICITATION OVERSEEN DIRECTLY BY THE COMMISSIONERS AND ISSUED
19	ON OR BEFORE SEPTEMBER 1, 2020, AN INDEPENDENT AND QUALIFIED
20	AGENT TO PERFORM THE STUDY, USING SELECTION CRITERIA THAT ENSURE
21	THE AGENT DOES NOT CARRY BIASES THAT ARE ESPECIALLY FAVORABLE
22	OR UNFAVORABLE TO CCE OR TO INVESTOR-OWNED UTILITIES;
23	(II) DETERMINE THE SCOPE OF, AND SPECIFIC QUESTIONS TO BE
24	ADDRESSED BY, THE STUDY, SUBJECT TO THE GUIDELINES SET FORTH IN
25	THIS SUBSECTION (3);
26	(III) ACQUIRE THE DATA NECESSARY TO EFFECTIVELY CONDUCT
2.7	THE STUDY FROM THE INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC LITILITIES IN A TIMELY

-6- 1064

1	FASHION, UTILIZING CONFIDENTIALITY AND NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENTS
2	AS NEEDED; AND
3	(IV) REPORT THE PROCESS AND CONCLUSIONS OF THE FEASIBILITY
4	STUDY, AS WELL AS THE CONCLUSIONS OF THE CONCURRENT
5	INVESTIGATORY DOCKET SET FORTH IN SUBSECTION (4) OF THIS SECTION,
6	IN A FINAL REPORT TO THE TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY COMMITTEE OF
7	THE SENATE AND THE ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE OF THE
8	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, OR THEIR SUCCESSOR COMMITTEES, ON OR
9	BEFORE JUNE 1, 2021.
10	(c) The purpose of the study is to assess financial
11	FEASIBILITY AND RISK, INCLUDING THE POTENTIAL FOR RATE
12	COMPETITIVENESS, PRINCIPLES FOR CALCULATING THE AMOUNT AND
13	DURATION OF ANY TRANSITION FEES, AND AN ESTIMATE OF SUCH FEES,
14	ALSO KNOWN AS EXIT FEES, THAT COMMUNITIES FORMING A CCE
15	AUTHORITY WOULD PAY TO OFFSET THEIR FAIR SHARE OF THE COSTS OF
16	UTILITY ASSETS AND CONTRACTS THAT WERE PROCURED ON THEIR BEHALF
17	AND PREVIOUSLY APPROVED. THE AGENT SHALL MAKE THESE
18	ASSESSMENTS AND DEVELOP THESE PRINCIPLES USING INDUSTRY BEST
19	PRACTICES AND ASSUMING A RANGE OF SCENARIOS THAT INCLUDE:
20	(I) THE LEVEL OF CCE PARTICIPATION IN COLORADO, INCLUDING
21	THE NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE COMMUNITIES THAT CHOOSE TO FORM OR JOIN
22	A CCE AUTHORITY AND THE ASSUMED OPT-OUT RATE OF THEIR
23	CUSTOMERS, TO EVALUATE THE MARKET SCALE AND REVENUE
24	GENERATION NEEDED FOR CCE TO SUCCEED IN COLORADO;
25	(II) FACTORS TO BE INCLUDED IN THE EXIT FEE CONSIDERATION,
26	INCLUDING THE AGE AND TIME OF SERVICE COMMENCEMENT OF
2.7	GENERATION ASSETS AND EXISTING CONTRACTS: AND

-7- 1064

2	BE COST-COMPETITIVE IN COLORADO, ASSUMING DIFFERENT LEVELS OF
3	RENEWABLE ENERGY CONTENT THAT CORRESPOND TO THE RENEWABLE
4	ENERGY STANDARD SPECIFIED IN SECTION 40-2-124 AS WELL AS
5	SCENARIOS EXCEEDING STATE REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING ONE HUNDRED
6	PERCENT RENEWABLE ENERGY, AND CONSIDERATION OF REASONABLY
7	ANTICIPATED TRENDS AND CONTINGENCIES AFFECTING THE PRICES OF
8	FOSSIL FUELS AND RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES AND THE MIX OF NEW
9	RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES VERSUS RENEWABLE ENERGY
10	CERTIFICATES.
11	(d) THE SCOPE OF THE STUDY IS LIMITED TO CONSIDERATION OF
12	THE FEASIBILITY OF ALLOWING $\overline{\text{CCE}}$ in areas not currently served by
13	MUNICIPALLY OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITIES OR COOPERATIVE ELECTRIC
14	ASSOCIATIONS.
15	(4) Investigatory docket. (a) On or before September 1, 2020,
16	AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS SUBSECTION (4), THE COMMISSION SHALL
17	OPEN AN INVESTIGATORY DOCKET TO ACCEPT TESTIMONY AND
18	DOCUMENTATION FROM STAKEHOLDERS, INDEPENDENT ENERGY AND
19	UTILITY EXPERTS, REGULATORS FROM STATES IN WHICH CCE HAS BEEN
20	IMPLEMENTED OR IS UNDER CONSIDERATION, REPRESENTATIVES OF
21	OPERATIONAL CCE AUTHORITIES, AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES. THE
22	GOAL OF THE PROCEEDING IS TO CONSIDER THE REGULATORY
23	IMPLICATIONS AND LEGAL IMPACTS OF POSSIBLE FUTURE CCE-ENABLING
24	LEGISLATION AND PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE GENERAL
25	ASSEMBLY. CONCLUSIONS SHOULD INCLUDE BEST PRACTICES AND LESSONS
26	LEARNED FROM STATES THAT HAVE ENABLED CCE AT THE WHOLESALE
	LEVEL. THE COMMISSION SHALL EMPLOY PROCEDURES THAT PROMOTE A

(III) RATE ANALYSIS TO DETERMINE THE POTENTIAL FOR CCE TO

1

-8-

1	PRODUCTIVE, EFFECTIVE, AND EVIDENCE-BASED PROCESS.
2	(b) THE COMMISSION SHALL SOLICIT INPUT FROM A BROAD AND
3	INCLUSIVE RANGE OF STAKEHOLDERS AND PRESENTERS TO ENSURE THAT
4	THE PROCESS IS NOT DOMINATED BY ANY ONE GROUP OR VIEWPOINT.
5	STAKEHOLDERS AND PRESENTERS MAY INCLUDE:
6	(I) COMMUNITIES WITH DECLARED GOALS REGARDING CARBON
7	EMISSIONS OR ENERGY SUPPLY CHOICES;
8	(II) BUSINESS GROUPS;
9	(III) ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCATES;
10	(IV) CONSUMER ADVOCATES;
11	(V) ELECTRIC UTILITIES, INCLUDING INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC
12	UTILITIES, MUNICIPALLY OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITIES, AND COOPERATIVE
13	ELECTRIC ASSOCIATIONS;
14	(VI) INDEPENDENT POWER PRODUCERS;
15	(VII) POWER MARKETERS;
16	(VIII) RENEWABLE ENERGY DEVELOPERS;
17	(IX) CONSULTANTS OR OTHER EXPERTS IN ENERGY PROJECT
18	FINANCING;
19	(X) CONSULTANTS OR OTHER EXPERTS IN ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND
20	DISTRIBUTED ENERGY RESOURCES;
21	(XI) REPRESENTATIVES OF OPERATIONAL CCE AUTHORITIES THAT
22	USE THE WHOLESALE CCE MODEL; AND
23	(XII) MEMBERS OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC.
24	(c) THE TOPICS AND QUESTIONS TO BE EXPLORED IN THE DOCKET
25	MAY INCLUDE:
26	(I) WHETHER THE COMMISSION WOULD REQUIRE ADDITIONAL
27	STATUTORY AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT A RULE-MAKING PROCEEDING

-9- 1064

1	CONCERNING THE CREATION OF <u>CCE</u> AUTHORITIES IN <u>COLORADO</u> ;
2	(II) THE APPROPRIATE SCOPE OF REGULATORY OVERSIGHT OF CCE
3	OPERATIONS, ON A SCALE RANGING FROM COMPREHENSIVE AS WITH
4	INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITIES TO MINIMAL AS WITH MUNICIPALLY
5	OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITIES;
6	(III) WHICH ASPECTS, IF ANY, OF CURRENT OR ANTICIPATED
7	INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY REGULATION BY THE COMMISSION
8	SHOULD APPLY TO CCE AUTHORITIES AS WELL, AND TO WHAT EXTENT,
9	INCLUDING REGULATION IN THE AREAS OF:
10	(A) RESOURCE ADEQUACY PLANNING;
11	(B) ASSURANCE OF RELIABILITY AND HOW THIS IS PAID FOR;
12	(C) COMPLIANCE WITH RENEWABLE ENERGY STANDARDS AND
13	EMISSIONS REDUCTION TARGETS;
14	(D) SUPPLEMENTAL DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS
15	OFFERED BY CCE AUTHORITIES;
16	(E) TIME-OF-USE RATES OR OTHER RATE REQUIREMENTS IF
17	MANDATED FOR INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITIES; AND
18	(F) STANDARDS FOR REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS;
19	(IV) THE APPROPRIATE CONSIDERATIONS FOR ESTABLISHING
20	REASONABLE EXIT FEES AT A LEVEL THAT PROVIDES COST RECOVERY FOR
21	STRANDED INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY ASSETS AND CONTRACTS
22	AND DIRECT TRANSITION COSTS, AND THAT PROTECTS NON-CCE
23	CUSTOMERS, BUT DOES NOT UNDULY BURDEN CCE CUSTOMERS,
24	INCLUDING THE POTENTIAL FOR EXIT FEES TO VARY OVER TIME OR BY
25	LOCATION, THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A SPECIFIC EXPIRATION PERIOD FOR
26	EXIT FEES, MEASURES TO MITIGATE EXIT FEES THROUGH POTENTIAL
27	CONTRACT TRANSFER OR RESALE TO CCE AUTHORITIES OR OTHER BUYERS,

-10-

1	AND APPROPRIATE FORECASTING OF DEPARTING LOAD TO AVOID
2	OVER-PROCUREMENT;
3	(V) THE APPROPRIATE CONDITIONS, LIMITATIONS, AND
4	PROCEDURES UNDER WHICH CUSTOMERS MAY OPT OUT OF CCE AND
5	RECEIVE BUNDLED SERVICE FROM THE INCUMBENT INVESTOR-OWNED
6	ELECTRIC UTILITY;
7	(VI) WHETHER ANY OTHER CONSUMER PROTECTIONS WOULD BE
8	REQUIRED AND THE MEANS OF PROVIDING THOSE PROTECTIONS;
9	(VII) POTENTIAL CHALLENGES FOR CCE START-UP OR CONTINUING
10	OPERATIONS, INCLUDING THE AVAILABILITY OF FINANCING AND CREDIT
11	RATING CONSIDERATIONS, AND STRATEGIES TO OVERCOME THOSE
12	CHALLENGES;
13	(VIII) WHAT REGULATORY AND LEGAL ISSUES HAVE ARISEN IN
14	OTHER STATES THAT HAVE ADOPTED THE WHOLESALE, OPT-OUT MODEL OF
15	CCE AND POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS FOR THOSE ISSUES;
16	(IX) WHETHER AN INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY THAT
17	REMAINS THE SOLE PROVIDER OF DISTRIBUTION, TRANSMISSION, AND
18	OTHER SERVICES TRADITIONALLY PROVIDED BY THE UTILITY, SUCH AS
19	METERING AND BILLING, SHOULD ALSO BE THE PROVIDER OF LAST RESORT
20	FOR SUPPLYING ELECTRICITY TO CUSTOMERS WHO OPT OUT OF CCE;
21	(X) THE APPROPRIATE PROCESS FOR APPROVAL OF CCE ON BEHALF
22	OF CUSTOMERS WITHIN A JURISDICTION;
23	(XI) WHETHER CCE AUTHORITIES SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO OFFER
24	DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS THAT EITHER EXPAND UPON OR
25	REPLACE SUCH PROGRAMS OFFERED BY THE INCUMBENT INVESTOR-OWNED
26	ELECTRIC UTILITY;
27	(XII) REGULATORY AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS RELATED TO

-11- 1064

1	FORMING CCE AUTHORITIES IN A STATE THAT DOES NOT CURRENTLY
2	BELONG TO A REGIONAL TRANSMISSION ORGANIZATION OR PARTICIPATE
3	IN A WHOLESALE ELECTRICITY MARKET, AND POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS,
4	INCLUDING CONSIDERATIONS IN THE AREAS OF:
5	(A) WHETHER LEGISLATION SHOULD BE ADOPTED TO GUARANTEE
6	OPEN ACCESS AND FAIR PRICES FOR TRANSMISSION SERVICES;
7	(B) RECOMMENDATIONS FOR LEGISLATIVE OR ADMINISTRATIVE
8	MEASURES, OR BOTH, CONCERNING WHOLESALE MARKET ACCESS AND
9	DEVELOPMENT IN COLORADO;
10	(C) WHETHER THERE ARE OTHER LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY
11	MODIFICATIONS NECESSARY TO SUCCESSFULLY IMPLEMENT CCE IN
12	COLORADO;
13	(XIII) WHAT, IF ANY, MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS AND STANDARDS
14	SHOULD APPLY TO INDEPENDENT POWER PRODUCERS AND POWER
15	MARKETERS WHO WISH TO SUPPLY ENERGY TO A CCE AUTHORITY;
16	(XIV) WHAT, IF ANY, DATA-SHARING REQUIREMENTS SHOULD BE
17	IMPOSED ON INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITIES TO HELP ENSURE THAT
18	A CCE AUTHORITY OR A JURISDICTION INVESTIGATING WHETHER TO FORM
19	OR JOIN A CCE AUTHORITY CAN REASONABLY EVALUATE ITS FINANCIAL
20	AND TECHNICAL VIABILITY AND IMPLEMENT ITS CCE PROGRAM;
21	(XV) HOW CCE MIGHT FACILITATE OR IMPEDE INCREASED
22	INTEGRATION OF DISTRIBUTED ENERGY RESOURCES, SUCH AS ROOFTOP
23	SOLAR, COMMUNITY SOLAR, AND BATTERY ENERGY STORAGE INTO
24	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, AND FACILITATE OR IMPEDE INCREASED
25	INVESTMENT IN BENEFICIAL ELECTRIFICATION INCLUDING
26	ELECTRIFICATION OF TRANSPORT;
27	(XVI) THE APPROPRIATE CONSIDERATIONS FOR ENSURING THAT

-12-

1	THE IMPLEMENTATION OF $\overline{\text{CCE}}$ does not include customers in the
2	CERTIFICATED TERRITORIES OF MUNICIPALLY OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITIES
3	OR COOPERATIVE ELECTRIC ASSOCIATIONS;
4	(XVII) THE IMPACT OF ALLOWING CCE IN COLORADO ON THE
5	ABILITY OF COLORADO TO REACH ITS CLEAN ENERGY AND GREENHOUSE
6	GAS REDUCTION GOALS AND WHAT LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY
7	REQUIREMENTS FOR CCE WOULD BE NEEDED TO FACILITATE REACHING
8	THOSE GOALS;
9	(XVIII) THE IMPACT, BOTH POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE, OF CCE IN
10	COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE FORMED OR JOINED A CCE AUTHORITY IN
11	STATES THAT HAVE ENABLED THE WHOLESALE, OPT-OUT MODEL OF CCE;
12	(XIX) THE IMPACT OF CCE ON LOW-INCOME CUSTOMERS,
13	INCLUDING THE AVAILABILITY OF LOW-INCOME PROGRAMS OFFERED
14	THROUGH THE INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITY TO CCE CUSTOMERS
15	AND THE ABILITY OF CCE AUTHORITIES TO ESTABLISH ADDITIONAL
16	PROGRAMS TO ASSIST LOW-INCOME CUSTOMERS; AND
17	(XX) THE RISKS A CCE AUTHORITY MIGHT FACE THAT MERIT
18	CONSIDERATION, SUCH AS RESOURCE PRICE RISKS, CONTRACT RISKS, OR
19	LOAD DEFECTION, AND THEIR SIGNIFICANCE.
20	(d) Report. THE COMMISSION SHALL SUMMARIZE ITS FINDINGS,
21	CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE INVESTIGATORY
22	DOCKET AND FROM THE CONCURRENT FEASIBILITY STUDY REQUIRED IN
23	SUBSECTION (3) OF THIS SECTION IN A FINAL REPORT TO THE
24	TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY COMMITTEE OF THE SENATE AND THE
25	ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF
26	REPRESENTATIVES, OR THEIR SUCCESSOR COMMITTEES. THE COMMISSION
27	SHALL SUBMIT THE REPORT ON OR BEFORE JUNE 1 2021

-13-

1	RECOMMENDATIONS MAY BE SPLIT INTO MAJORITY VIEWS AND DISSENTING
2	VIEWS IF NECESSARY.
3	(5) Repeal. This section is repealed, effective September 1
4	2023.
5	SECTION 2. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds
6	determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate
7	preservation of the public peace, health, or safety.
8	

-14- 1064